


## REMARKS

This Response to Restriction Requirement is responsive to the Office Action mailed June 20, 2005 (hereinafter "Office Action"). Restriction is requested between one of two groups. As alleged in the Office Action, Group I (Claims 1-17 and 28-39) is "drawn to a semiconductor device," while Group II (Claims 18-27) is "drawn to Method of manufacturing a semiconductor device." Office Action, p. 2. Applicants elect Group I, Claims 1-17 without traverse. Claims 18-39 have been canceled without prejudice towards pursuing these claims in a divisional application.

Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for substantive examination of Claims 1-17, which action is respectfully requested. Any questions that the Examiner may have regarding this correspondence can be directed to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert M. Meeks  
Registration No. 40,723  
Attorney for Applicant(s)

**USPTO Customer No. 20792**  
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec  
Post Office Box 37428  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627  
Telephone: 919/854-1400  
Facsimile: 919/854-1401

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on July 8, 2005.



Candi L. Riggs